



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/600,458                            | 08/14/2000  | ANDREAS SASSE        | RBL0064                | 3399             |
| 7590 03/11/2004                       |             | EXAMINER             |                        |                  |
| JOHN F HOFFMAN                        |             |                      | SHARMA, SUJATHA R      |                  |
| BAKER & DANIELS 111 EAST WAYNE STREET |             |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| SUITE 800                             |             |                      | 2684                   |                  |
| FORT WAYNI                            | E, IN 46802 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/11/200 | 4 20             |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| _   | 09/600,458   | SASSE, ANDREAS   |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|   | Sujatha Sharma   | 2684   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence address  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state and any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. t.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under  | his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt  | • •  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-12,15-18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | drawn from consideration.  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the   | accepted or b) objected to line drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing(  | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in A<br>riority documents have been<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | oplication No received in this National Stage  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s   | ummary (PTO-413)<br>)/Mail Date<br>formal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>   |  |

Art Unit: 2684

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-12,15,16,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eul [DE 196 10 840 A1] in view of Basso [US 6,317,131].

Regarding claim 1, Eul discloses a procedure to load electronic games on a mobile communication transmitter of a mobile communication network. Further, Eul discloses a method of communicating between a subscriber (MS in Fig.1) and value added service node (SE/SCP IN Fig. 1) relative to value added services offered with the objects, the objects including one of executable programs, functions and data and further controlling, modifying or executing the objects via the wireless interface of the mobile communication system (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2). Eul however does not disclose a method of verifying the technical capability of the communicating device and further the application/object adapting to the technical capability of the communication device that has requested the object/application and loading into the mobile station an object suitable to the technical capabilities of the mobile station, wherein the technical capabilities of the mobile station are stored in a special database.

Art Unit: 2684

Basso teaches this limitation where multimedia data is displayed based on both the user preferences and terminal capabilities (see background of invention, summary of invention, col.2, lines 54-58, col. 3, 15-40).

Eul and Basso are combinable since they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., interaction for multimedia delivery and presentation using nodes in a communication network. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to provide the teachings of Basso to Eul in order to launch the object in an optimal manner that uses only those properties and features that are available on the particular portable device.

Regarding claims 2, Eul further discloses a method where the applications/objects are loaded via the aerial interface (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 3, Eul further discloses a method where the applications are loaded and modified by the operators via wireless interface (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 4, Eul further discloses the method of displaying the applications/objects in a menu application (see translation document: page 6, paragraph 2).

Regarding claim 5, Eul further discloses a method where an application enables the response to a query by means of loading a new object/application in dependence of the action

Art Unit: 2684

:-

previously executed (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 6, Eul further discloses a method where the applications are stored in a central database/server and is loaded into the mobile station (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 7, Eul further discloses a method where the applications are loaded and stored in the permanent memory of the mobile terminal (see translation document: Fig. 3 and page 12).

Regarding claims 9,16,18, Eul further discloses the method of transferring, activating, modifying and updating taking place via SMS (see translation document: page 13, paragraph 2).

Regarding claims 10,15 Eul further discloses a method where keys/combination of keys are allocated by the applications to indicate functions of Value added services (see translation document: Fig. 3 and page 12)

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Eul further discloses a method where the loading of the application(s) is carried out by selected events initiated by the subscriber (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Art Unit: 2684

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eul [DE 196 10 840 A1] and Basso [US 6,317,131] in view of Michaels [US 6,011,976].

Regarding claim 8, Eul and Basso teach all the limitations as claimed. However they fail to teach a method of downloading the object/game into the subscriber identity module (SIM) of the mobile unit.

Michaels, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of downloading application data file programs into the SIM card (see col. 5, line 45 - col. 6, line 36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Michaels to Eul and Basso in order to facilitate the user to access the object/game even if the user has been disconnected form the mobile phone network.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eul [DE 196 10 840 A1] and Basso [US 6,317,131] in view of Sugita [US .6,564,048].

Regarding claim 8, Eul and Basso teach all the limitations as claimed. However they fail to teach a method of comparing the version number of the available object with a version number of the object available in the mobile station and loading the more up-to-date object in the mobile station.

Sugita, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of comparing the version number of the available object with a version number of the object available in the mobile station and loading the more up-to-date object in the mobile station. See col. 2, lines 23-45 and col. 3, lines 42-54.

Art Unit: 2684

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Sugita to Eul and Basso so that the value added service with more improved features can be used.

## Response to Arguments

4. The applicant argues that games as discussed in the primary reference Eul is not a value added service. However, the specifications do not provide a clear definition of the value added service and the claims do not exclude games as being a value added service to the user.

Therefore the reference is held as a valid prior art and the rejection of the claims as discussed above is considered proper.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2684

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 7

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 703-305-5298. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sujatha Sharma

March 3, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEM